7-6-15

Russia is sending visions about nuclear weapons. That making them visions frontiers to the Americans.

Both Russia and NATO are unlikely (in Russia's case for the first time, in NATO's case, a renewal) the visions, thinking, thinks + posture of the US + NATO in the 50's + 60's.



re Albion + Sims

The US/NATO cannot protect the Baltics militarily from attack — except if using deterrence threats that would be evil and insane to carry out.

(as in Berlin — or CK) in C-II

There might work (they close to incredible: like Berlin (and less, C-II — missiles in Cuba: cf. Ukraine in Estonia!) (or Berlin?) (or, with Hungary)

but at a risk that should be regarded as intolerable, unacceptable (evil?)

Alternatives must be found (Drones, assurances, other non-mil. sanctions).

Reading of Putin "briefings"
US "battlefields"
When did thing "start"? "Provocations"

Talbott — Baltics book
assistants — Warsaw
Chung

6-24

Reason K couldn't
deter US from FS
by threatening
European bases:

We were planning
to kill the Russians
ourselves —
("identity"?)
(by Ballard!)